#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL P. KELLY	
6562 Tulip Street, Rear 2 <sup>nd</sup> Fl	
Philadelphia, PA 19135	

Plaintiff,

v.

MARRIOTT HOTEL SERVICES
1201 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107
and
MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.
10400 Fernwood Road
Bethesda, MD 20817

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

No. \_\_\_\_

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. This action has been initiated by Michael P. Kelly (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA" - 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et. seq.). As a direct consequence of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because this civil action arises under laws of the United States.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial

justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe</u>

<u>Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.

4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendants are deemed to reside where they are subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendants residents of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### III. PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant Marriott Hotel Services (hereinafter individually referred to as "Defendant Marriott") is a Pennsylvania entity engaging in the business of providing hotel accommodations and other amenities to its guests.
- 8. Defendant Marriott International, Inc. (hereinafter individually referred to as "Defendant Marriott International") is a worldwide operator and franchisor of hotels and other lodging facilities which manages and operates including but not limited to the Defendant Marriott (franchise).
- 9. Upon information and belief, because of their interrelation of operations, common ownership or management, centralized control of labor relations, common ownership or financial controls, and other factors, Defendants are sufficiently interrelated and integrated in their activities, labor relations, ownership and management that they may be treated as a single and/or joint employer for purposes of the instant action.

10. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

### IV. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE EXHAUSTION</u>

- 11. In this lawsuit, Plaintiff files claims under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA").
- 12. Plaintiff filed timely charges of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 13. Over sixty (60) days have passed since Plaintiff's EEOC charge was filed; Plaintiff has therefore exhausted his administrative remedies in order to proceed under the ADEA.

### V. <u>FACTUAL BACKGROUND</u>

- 14. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 15. Plaintiff is a 52-year old man.
- 16. Plaintiff was hired to work for Defendants in or about November 2005 and worked for Defendants for approximately five (5) years.
- 17. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a Front-Desk Associate and performed primarily duties of guest check-in/check-out, guest payments, as well as miscellaneous guest services and relations.
  - 18. Plaintiff was the oldest Front Desk Associate during his employ with Defendants.
- 19. In the months preceding his termination, Plaintiff was subjected to a series of discriminatory comments by Defendants' management, including but not limited to: being told

that he should wear "Depends"; being told that he was "peeing too much" and that he should "get his prostate checked"; being told that he was "getting old"; being called, "the old guy,"; being called "Ben Franklin"; and related remarks about his balding.

- 20. Defendants' management even made discriminatory comments in front of other hotel guests, whereby Plaintiff felt even more humiliated.
- 21. Plaintiff's work performance was adequate and consistent throughout the time of his employ with Defendants.
- 22. Prior to his termination, Plaintiff complained to Defendants' management that he was being discriminated against because of his age.
- 23. Prior to his termination, Plaintiff experienced very significant animosity for complaining about age discrimination.
- 24. In the immediate weeks preceding his termination, Plaintiff was disciplined in writing approximately three times for mistakes relating to the check-in of "VIP guests."
- 25. The write pretextual discipline that Plaintiff received in the weeks preceding his termination were Defendant Marriott's stated reasons for his discharge.
- 26. The reasons proffered for Plaintiff's termination are for errors that younger employees commonly committed during Plaintiff's employ with Defendants, as well as after, however, none of these other younger employees have been terminated.
  - 27. Plaintiff was replaced by someone younger than him.

# Count I Violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA") (Against all Defendants)

28. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 29. Plaintiff believes and avers herein that his age was a determinative factor in his termination.
  - 30. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADEA.

## Count II <u>Violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA")</u> -Retaliation-

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 32. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendants for objecting and complaining about unlawful age discrimination practices within Defendants.
  - 33. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful retaliation under the ADEA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendants are to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting retaliation/discrimination in the future against any employee(s);
- B. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, and benefits;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated damages as permitted by applicable law in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendants for their willful, deliberate, malicious, and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendants or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate; and

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF & KARPF, P.C.

By:

Christine E. Burke, Esq.

3070 Bristol Pike

Bldg. 2, Ste. 231

Bensalem, PA 19020

Date: September 30, 2010

#### 

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Michael	P	14114

CIVIL ACTION

Attorney for

E-Mail Address

-law.com

Marriott Hotel Services, et al	•	Y	•	
MUM 1944 HUTTI STIMUS, OI ON	Marriott	HOPI	SERVICES	Hal.

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

	,	_					~ 1 ~~	N T A T A T A	<i>ረ</i> ተመነለመንሊ	ጥጥን ልር ዜና:
		O NIM	00	COLLEG	TOTAL	$\cup$	CASB	MANA	CYDIMITOR A	T. L.L.C.L.
c mr	${ m RCT}$	$\Theta$ NE	U.F.	Tur	LOPE	OUTHING	C 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			TTRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus — Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	( )
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	(a )
(c) Arbitration—Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	( )
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	( )
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(XX)
alondo Marchina RIME, Plaintiff	

Attorney-at-law

(215) 639-4970

FAX Number

Telephone

(215) 639-0801

Case 2:10-cy 95140 MAIN to Document to indicate the case for the purpose of the case for the purpose of the East Ern District of Pennsylvania Comment
s of Plaintiff: 1701 WOWL STULL MILLIAGE MILLIAG
s of Defendant 1201 10400 FRYWOOD KOULD, TUTTIES TO THE TOTAL TOTA
Accordant, Indictent or Transaction:  Color Report Nate For Accordance for Accord
nis civil action involve a nongovernmental control of participates participated in the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with FedR Civ.P. 7.1(a))  2.5 two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with FedR Civ.P. 7.1(a))
Yes□ NoV
this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  4TED-CASE, IF ANY:  Date Terminated
Number:
cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  Yes No
this case related to property included in an earlier number of some some some some some some some some
this case related to property included in an earner means the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated easth is case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated to property included in an earner means to be suit pending or within one year previously terminated to property included in an earner means to be suit pending or within one year previously terminated to property included in an earner means to be suit pending or within one year previously terminated to be suit of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated to be suit or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
tion in this court?  The same involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously  Tes No.
poes this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent aiready in suit of the year. Not.
erministed action in this court?
### In ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  #### B. Diversity Invisdiction Cases:  ##################################
Federal Question Cases:  1
☐ FELA  3. ☐ Assault, Defamation.
☐ Tones Act Personal Injury  4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury  D Antirrust  5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
(The report Injury (Please specify)
Labor-Management Relations 7 Products Liability
Civil Rights 8. Products Liability - Asbestos
Habeas Corpus  9 All other Diversity Cases
Securities Act(s) Cases  (Please specify)  (Decurity Review Cases
Social Security Review Cases  All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify)
Check appropriate Cases II
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case.    Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case.
Fursuant to Local Civil Factor Section of St 50,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs;  succeed the sum of \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary darmages is sought.
Atterney I.I.#
NOTE: A will de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.F. 38.  [Certify that, to my knowledge, the within cast is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court cartify that, to my knowledge, the within cast is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within teast is not related to any tast him parent except as noted about 17070
DATE: 9 80 2010 (100 308100)

### Case 2:10-cv-05140-MAM Document 1 Filed 09/30/10 Page 9 of 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	{TS			<del>-</del>		
KELLY, MICHAEL P.		MARRIOTT HOTEL SERVICES, et al.								
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff	hiladelphia	<del></del>	County of Reside	ence of	First List	ed Defendant	Philadelp	nia	
e) Attorney's (Firm Na Karpf & Karpf, P.C., 30 Bensalem PA 19020, (2		ng 2 Suite 231,			AND IN	CONDEM VOLVED	INATION CASES, I	USE THE LOCA	TION OF TI	не
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP (	OF PR	INCIE	AL PARTIE	S(Place an "X" ir	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government )	Not a Party)		For Diversity Cases (	Only) PTI 🗇		Incorporated or log Business In T		for Defende PTF	ant) DEF © 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>4 Diversity</li> <li>(Indicate Citizenship</li> </ul>	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	<b>a</b> :	2 🗇 :	Incorporated and of Business I	d Principal Place n Another State	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b> 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	o:	3 0	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI			578377# EXTAPZZ	VIEW VETTI ET DAY DASS	tor an even	Parento expressión de	a Ni azi Cara Ciracia a de la como	West Charles and Course	F5230240:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 750 Product Liability	61	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug D Drug Related Seizu of Property 21 USC O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other  Labor/Mgmt. Relati O Labor/Mgmt. Repor & Disclosure Act O Railway Labor Act O Railway Labor Litigat I Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  Naturalization App. 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 5 Other Immigration Actions	gre 2 881 ds ions ting	22 App 28	peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157  ERINERIGHTSS pyrights ent tdemark	300 State	Reapportion ust and Bankin nerce tation teer Influen pt Organizat uner Credit /Sat TV ive Service tities/Commonge C 3410 Statutory A ultural Acts unic Stabiliz commental M y Allocation on of Infor I of Fee Det Equal Acce	ment  ag  ced and  ions  dities/  ge  ctions  ration Act  latters  1 Act  mation  ermination
⊠1 Original ☐ 2 Re	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	eneu	another (specification)		Litigati	on ,	Appeal to Judge from Magistrate Judgment	m e
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Age Discrimina Brief description of ca Violations of the	tute under which you a tion in Employme use: ADEA.	ent Act	Mane Air (1298)	ISU62	statutes	unless diversity)	): 		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION	N D	EMAND \$			CHECK YES on JURY DEMAN	_	n complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CAS		JUDGE				DOCI	CET NUMBER	at ies		· <del>-</del>
PATE Explanation:	)	SIGNATURE	1 P	RNEY OF RECO	DN	- <del></del>				